



Advance Ruling Authority Mumbai
PROCEEDING SHEET

TIN.....
PERIOD: 2021-22

NAME OF THE APPLICANT: M/s. Balkrishna Jayram Koli (Sony Soft Tech) (22/2021-22)

DATE	Summary of action, action taken, order given, document taken for case file, name & designation of the officer, signature of the dealer attending and taking note of orders given by the officer.	Page No
28.12.2021	<p>Preliminary e-hearing dated 28.12.2021</p> <p>Preliminary e-hearing was held today. The Authorized representative of the applicant, Mr. Balkrishna Koli Learned Proprietor, Shri Dinesh Bhoir Learned Advocate were present. The Jurisdictional officer Smt. Anuradha Chimankar Learned STO C-014, Raigad Division, was present.</p> <p>The application is filed after the service is over. The work is over. Hence application is not maintainable as per Sec 95(a), so same cannot be admitted. The Section 95(a) reads as under:</p> <p>Section 95(a) <i>“advance ruling” means a decision provided by the Authority or the Appellate Authority 1 [or the National Appellate Authority] to an applicant on matters or on questions specified in sub-section (2) of section 97 or sub-section (1) of section 100 1 [or of section 101C], in relation to the supply of goods or services or <u>both being undertaken or proposed to be undertaken by the applicant;</u></i></p> <p>In view of above provisions of law, the application is liable to rejected without admission. The applicant is heard on this aspect during the course of todays' hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  RAJIV MAGOO (MEMBER) </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  T. R. RAMNANI (MEMBER) </div> </div>	

