

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 43 OF 2016
IN
MAHARASHTRA VALUE ADDED TAX APPEAL NO. 20 OF 2016
(In Chamber)**

**M/s. Gail India Ltd. } Petitioner
versus
State of Maharashtra and Ors. } Respondents**

Mr. Vikram Nankani - Senior Advocate
with Mr. C. Nandagopal and Mr. Aashish
Chandra Rao i/b. M/s. Economic Law
Practice for the petitioner.

Mr. V. A. Sonpal - Special Counsel for
respondent nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED :- DECEMBER 9, 2016

P.C. :-

1. Having heard Mr. Nankani appearing for the review petitioner and perusing the order under review, we do not think that any of the parameters permitting us to entertain a review petition are satisfied. More so, in the light of the clarification in para 11 of the order under review. The review petition is entirely misconceived and it is dismissed.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)